UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,344	04/01/2004	Yoshiaki Sakagami	59406.00016	5350
	7590 10/29/200 DERS & DEMPSEY L	EXAMINER		
8000 TOWERS	CRESCENT DRIVE	RICE, ELISA M		
14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/814,344	SAKAGAMI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ELISA M. RICE	2624		

	ELISA M. RICE	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second con	sideration and/or search (see NO w); er form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co		PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	•	-			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	」 will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wil ided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10.	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.						
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/VIKKRAM BALI/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624	/Elisa M Rice/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As discussed in column 5, lines 17-22, "the floating type robots are communicated with one another, so that the information obtained by respective robots are used commonly as the common information, on the basis of which their movements are scheduled and executed to cooperatively conduct the information supply or the monitoring entirely." This section was not relied upon in the rejection of claim 1 and 10 to teach transmitting the current position of the robot. However, since the information transmitted from one robot to another is the basis for which the movement of the robots are scheduled and executed to cooperatively conduct the information supply or the monitoring entirely, this information must include current position information of other robot in association with the other monitored information in order to provide any meaningful information in the widely monitored area upon which cooperative action and movement planning by the robots. The communication device is an image transmitting means in that it transmits image, audio and/or other information to an external device. In addition, the image display device such as an information terminal is also an image transmitting means and it also provides information about the current position of the robot if it is to be any use in the collection of the monitoring information for managing a wide space used by many and unspecified person as discussed in column 5, lines 40-42. The image display device of Ishii must by its very nature transmit changing information regarding the current position of the robot in a widely monitored space and relay this information to the user in the way of images. In addition, the claimed recitation of claim 1 simply requires "means for monitoring state variables comprising a current position of the robot."